

ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/786,008 | 02/26/2004 | Nobuyasu Kanekawa | 501.30980CC7 | 3549 |
| 20457 75 | 590 09/09/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP | | | NGUYEN, VIET Q | |
| 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | <u>The</u> |
|---|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| Office Action Comments | 10/786,008 | KANEKAWA ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication com | Viet Q Nguyen | 2818 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | editable within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 are subject to restriction and/or electric description. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage |
| Attachment(s) | _ | • |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/26/2004</u>. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 10/786,008

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Claims **1-6** are present or examination.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 7, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 6,728,904 (Kanekawa et al).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the term "circuit" could perform any necessary programmable functions and/or processing tasks to do the checking step as claimed. Additionally, the "processor" as recited in the above patent could have been similarly programmed to become any circuit structure, so also to do any checking functions and/or specific jobs, without much undue hardship or extensive modifications to its processor circuitry/core as long as it is capable of doing the checking functions as claimed. Furthermore, it is

Application/Control Number: 10/786,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

well-known in this art that any processor contain many circuitries that can be considered as a specialized "circuit" capable of doing checking functions as recited by the claim 1 of this instant application. Therefore, the term "circuit" is not given any patentable weight over the term "processor" as already recited in the patent.

- 3. Other claimed features as recited claims **2-6** are found corresponding or at least equivalently to those recited in patent's claims **2-5 and 7** accordingly.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1788. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-6pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Viet Q Nguyen

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2818

V. Aquesen

V. Nguyen 09/04/2004

W